

# Module LWE

Thomas Silverman

January 23, 2025

Ring-LWE vs Module-LWE

Overview of Module-LWE

Implementation of Module-LWE in Rust

# Ring-LWE vs Module-LWE

- ▶ Module-LWE is a generalization of ring-LWE, using the rank  $k$  free module  $R_q^k$ , where  $R_q := \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n + 1)$  as in ring-LWE. The elements of  $R_q^k$  are  $k$ -tuples of polynomials in  $R_q$ . Ring-LWE is just the case  $k = 1$ .

# Ring-LWE vs Module-LWE

- ▶ Module-LWE is a generalization of ring-LWE, using the rank  $k$  free module  $R_q^k$ , where  $R_q := \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n + 1)$  as in ring-LWE. The elements of  $R_q^k$  are  $k$ -tuples of polynomials in  $R_q$ . Ring-LWE is just the case  $k = 1$ .
- ▶ Module-LWE works sort of like a hybrid between the original LWE scheme and ring-LWE, combining both matrix operations and polynomial multiplication.

# Ring-LWE vs Module-LWE

## Advantages of Module-LWE:

- Scalability: The main way to increase the security of ring-LWE is to increase the polynomial modulus degree  $n$ , but since this is always power of two there is no way to make a small increase in security if you are close to the necessary threshold. On the other hand, in module-LWE you can also increase the module rank  $k$ , which can be any integer.

# Ring-LWE vs Module-LWE

## Advantages of Module-LWE:

- ▶ Scalability: The main way to increase the security of ring-LWE is to increase the polynomial modulus degree  $n$ , but since this is always power of two there is no way to make a small increase in security if you are close to the necessary threshold. On the other hand, in module-LWE you can also increase the module rank  $k$ , which can be any integer.
- ▶ Parallelization: Many of the computations in module-LWE involves matrix operations, which can be easily parallelized.

# Module-LWE Diagram

## KEY GENERATION

$$R := \mathbb{Z}_q[x] / (x^n + 1)$$

$$\widehat{A} \in R^{k \times k}$$

$$|s_0\rangle, |e_0\rangle \in R^{k \times 1}$$

$$|p_0\rangle := \widehat{A}|s_0\rangle + |e_0\rangle$$

$$\downarrow \text{SK: } |s_0\rangle$$

## DECRYPT

$$m = \lfloor (2/q)(c - \langle p_1 | s_0 \rangle) \rfloor$$

## ENCRYPT

$$m, e \in R$$

$$\langle s_1 |, \langle e_1 | \in R^{1 \times k}$$

$$\langle p_1 | := \langle s_1 | \widehat{A} + \langle e_1 |$$

$$c := \lfloor q/2 \rfloor m + e + \langle s_1 | p_0 \rangle$$

$$\swarrow \text{CT: } c, \langle p_1 |$$

# Public Setup

The public setup involves the following:

1. A prime  $q$  and modulus degree  $n$  resulting in the ring  $R_q$ .
2. Module rank  $k$ .
3. A notion of “small”, as applied to elements of  $R_q^k$ .
  - ▶ These are  $k$ -tuples of ternary polynomials, with coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

# Key Generation

Bob selects a private/public keypair as follows:

1. Bob selects a small random element  $|s_0\rangle$  of  $R_q^{k \times 1}$
  2. Bob selects a small random element  $|e_0\rangle$  of  $R_q^{k \times 1}$
  3. Bob selects a uniformly random matrix  $\hat{A}$  in  $R_q^{k \times k}$
  4. Bob defines  $|p_0\rangle := \hat{A}|s_0\rangle + |e_0\rangle$ .
- ▶ The element  $|e_0\rangle$  can be discarded
  - ▶ Bob keeps  $|s_0\rangle$  as his secret key
  - ▶ Bob makes  $(\hat{A}, |p_0\rangle)$  public as his public key

# Encryption by Alice

Alice encrypts a message  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^n + 1)$  as follows:

1. Alice selects a small random element  $\langle s_1 |$  of  $R_q^{1 \times k}$  (ephemeral key)
  2. Alice selects small random  $\langle e_1 | \in R_q^{1 \times k}$  and  $e \in R_q$
  3. Alice defines  $\langle p_1 | := \langle s_1 | \hat{A} + \langle e_1 |$  and  $c := \lfloor q/2 \rfloor m + e + \langle s_1 | p_0$
- ▶ Alice may discard  $\langle s_1 |$ ,  $\langle e_1 |$ , and  $e$
  - ▶ The ciphertext is  $(c, \langle p_1 |)$ , which is sent to Bob

# Decryption by Bob

Bob decrypts the message as follows:

1. Compute  $x = c - \langle p_1 | s_0 \rangle$
2. Divide by  $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor$
3. Round the coefficients to the nearest integer modulo 2; the result is the message  $m$

# Decryption by Bob

Bob decrypts the message as follows:

1. Compute  $x = c - \langle p_1 | s_0 \rangle$
2. Divide by  $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor$
3. Round the coefficients to the nearest integer modulo 2; the result is the message  $m$

Correctness:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= c - \langle p_1 | s_0 \rangle \\&= (\lfloor q/2 \rfloor m + e + \langle s_1 | p_0 \rangle) - (\langle s_1 | \hat{A} + \langle e_1 | \rangle | s_0 \rangle) \\&= \lfloor q/2 \rfloor m + e + \langle s_1 | \hat{A} | s_0 \rangle + \langle s_1 | e_0 \rangle - \langle s_1 | \hat{A} | s_0 \rangle + \langle e_1 | s_0 \rangle \\&= \lfloor q/2 \rfloor m + \text{small polynomials},\end{aligned}$$

so dividing by  $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor$  and rounding coefficients to the nearest integer modulo 2 returns  $m$

# Module-LWE: Library functions

```
use polynomial_ring::Polynomial;
use rand_distr::{Uniform, Distribution};
use rand::SeedableRng;
use rand::rngs::StdRng;
pub mod ring_mod;
use ring_mod::{polyadd, polymul, gen_uniform_poly};

...

impl Default for Parameters {
    fn default() -> Self {
        let n = 32;
        let q = 59049;
        let k = 8;
        let mut poly_vec = vec![0i64;n+1];
        poly_vec[0] = 1;
        poly_vec[n] = 1;
        let f = Polynomial::new(poly_vec);
        Parameters { n, q, k, f }
    }
}
```

Listing 1: lib.rs

# Module-LWE: Library functions

```
pub mod ring_mod;
use ring_mod::{polyadd, polymul, gen_uniform_poly};

...

pub fn mul_vec_simple(v0: &Vec<Polynomial<i64>>, v1: &Vec<Polynomial<i64>>,
    modulus: i64, poly_mod: &Polynomial<i64>) -> Polynomial<i64> {
    //take the dot product of two vectors of polynomials

    assert!(v0.len() == v1.len());
    // sizes need to be the same

    let mut result = Polynomial::new(vec![]);
    for i in 0..v0.len() {
        result = polyadd(&result, &polymul(&v0[i], &v1[i], modulus, &
            poly_mod), modulus, &poly_mod);
    }
    result
}
```

Listing 2: Dot Product

# Module-LWE: Library functions

```
pub fn mul_mat_vec_simple(m: &Vec<Vec<Polynomial<i64>>>, v: &Vec<Polynomial<i64>>>, modulus: i64, poly_mod: &Polynomial<i64>) -> Vec<Polynomial<i64>> {  
    // multiply a matrix by a vector of polynomials  
  
    let mut result = vec![];  
    for i in 0..m.len() {  
        result.push(mul_vec_simple(&m[i], &v, modulus, &poly_mod));  
    }  
    result  
}
```

Listing 3: Matrix Product

# Module-LWE: Key Generation

```
use polynomial_ring::Polynomial;
use module_lwe::{Parameters, add_vec, mul_mat_vec_simple, gen_small_vector,
    gen_uniform_matrix};
use std::collections::HashMap;

pub fn keygen(
    params: &Parameters,
    seed: Option<u64> //random seed
) -> ((Vec<Vec<Polynomial<i64>>>, Vec<Polynomial<i64>>>), Vec<Polynomial<i64>>>) {
    let (n,q,k,f) = (params.n, params.q, params.k, &params.f);
    //Generate a public and secret key
    let a = gen_uniform_matrix(n, k, q, seed);
    let sk = gen_small_vector(n, k, seed);
    let e = gen_small_vector(n, k, seed);
    let t = add_vec(&mul_mat_vec_simple(&a, &sk, q, &f), &e, q, &f);

    //Return public key (a, t) and secret key (sk) as a 2-tuple
    ((a, t), sk)
}
```

Listing 4: keygen

# Module-LWE: Encryption

```
use polynomial_ring::Polynomial;
use module_lwe::ring_mod::{polyadd, polysub, nearest_int};
use module_lwe::{Parameters, add_vec, mul_mat_vec_simple, transpose,
    mul_vec_simple, gen_small_vector};

pub fn encrypt(
    a: &Vec<Vec<Polynomial<i64>>>,
    t: &Vec<Polynomial<i64>>,
    m_b: Vec<i64>,
    params: &Parameters,
    seed: Option<u64>
) -> (Vec<Polynomial<i64>>, Polynomial<i64>) {
    //get parameters
    let (n, q, k, f) = (params.n, params.q, params.k, &params.f);
    //generate random ephemeral keys
    let r = gen_small_vector(n, k, seed);
    let e1 = gen_small_vector(n, k, seed);
    let e2 = gen_small_vector(n, 1, seed)[0].clone(); // Single polynomial
    //compute nearest integer to q/2
    let half_q = nearest_int(q,2);
    // Convert binary message to polynomial
    let m = Polynomial::new(vec![half_q])*Polynomial::new(m_b);
    // Compute  $u = a^T * r + e_1 \bmod q$ 
    let u = add_vec(&mul_mat_vec_simple(&transpose(a), &r, q, f), &e1, q, f);
    // Compute  $v = t * r + e_2 - m \bmod q$ 
    let v = polysub(&polyadd(&mul_vec_simple(t, &r, q, &f), &e2, q, f), &m, q, f);
    (u, v)
}
```

Listing 5: encrypt

# Module-LWE: Decryption

```
use polynomial_ring::Polynomial;
use module_lwe::{Parameters, mul_vec_simple};
use module_lwe::ring_mod::{polysub, nearest_int};

pub fn decrypt(
    sk: &Vec<Polynomial<i64>>, //secret key
    q: i64, //ciphertext modulus
    f: &Polynomial<i64>, //polynomial modulus
    u: &Vec<Polynomial<i64>>, //ciphertext vector
    v: &Polynomial<i64> //ciphertext polynomial
) -> Vec<i64> {
    //Decrypt a ciphertext (u,v)
    //Returns a plaintext vector

    //Compute v-sk*u mod q
    let scaled_pt = polysub(&v, &mul_vec_simple(&sk, &u, q, &f), q, &f);
    let half_q = nearest_int(q,2);
    let mut decrypted_coeffs = vec![];
    let mut s;
    for c in scaled_pt.coeffs().iter() {
        s = nearest_int(*c, half_q) % 2;
        decrypted_coeffs.push(s);
    }
    decrypted_coeffs
}
```

Listing 6: decrypt

# Module-LWE: Homomorphic Addition

```
pub fn test_hom_add() {  
    let seed = None; //set the random seed  
    let params = Parameters::default();  
    let (n, q, f) = (params.n, params.q, &params.f);  
    let mut m0 = vec![1, 0, 1];  
    m0.resize(n, 0);  
    let mut m1 = vec![0, 0, 1];  
    m1.resize(n, 0);  
    let mut plaintext_sum = vec![1, 0, 0];  
    plaintext_sum.resize(n, 0);  
    let (pk, sk) = keygen(&params, seed);  
    // Encrypt plaintext messages  
    let u = encrypt(&pk.0, &pk.1, m0, &params, seed);  
    let v = encrypt(&pk.0, &pk.1, m1, &params, seed);  
    // Compute sum of encrypted data  
    let ciphertext_sum = (add_vec(&u.0, &v.0, q, f), polyadd(&u.1, &v.1, q, f));  
    // Decrypt ciphertext sum u+v  
    let mut decrypted_sum = decrypt(&sk, q, f, &ciphertext_sum.0, &  
        ciphertext_sum.1);  
    decrypted_sum.resize(n, 0);  
  
    assert_eq!(decrypted_sum, plaintext_sum, "test_failed: {:?} != {:?}",  
        decrypted_sum, plaintext_sum);  
}
```

Listing 7: homadd

# References I



Katherine Stange. *Ring-LWE notes*

<https://math.colorado.edu/~kstange/teaching-resources/c>



Jackson Walters, Thomas Silverman

<https://github.com/lattice-based-cryptography>